

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/740,345 | 12/18/2000 | Minoru Mukaida | F-6783 | 5183 |
| 7590 11/07/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| BRUCE S. LONDA | | | UHLIR, NIKOLAS J | |
| NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 220 EAST 42ND STREET 30TH FLOOR | | | 1773 | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | • | eb13 | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/740,345 | MUKAIDA, MINORU | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nikolas J. Uhlir | 1773 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover s | heet with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced to the communication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minim d will apply and will expire SIX te. cause the application to b | or, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 | July <u>2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T | his action is non-fina | al. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 28-47 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from considerat | ion. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>28-47</u> are subject to restriction and/ | or election requireme | ent. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a lie. | Bureau (PCT Rule 17 | 7.2(a)). | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | provisional applicatio | n has been received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | one priority and or | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 41 🗆 | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) 🔲 | Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/740,345

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 28-38, drawn to an article improved in energy consumption efficiency, classified in class 428, subclass 323.
 - II. Claims 39-47, drawn to a method of improving energy consumption, classified in class 427, subclass 385.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product could have been made by another and materially different process, such as by a method wherein a solvent is utilized to dilute a binder to a viscosity above 100cp, followed by spraying the solution onto a substrate and evaporating the solvent to form a film having a viscosity ≤100,000cp.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1773

4. A telephone call was made to Bruce Londa on 10/31/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikolas J. Uhlir whose telephone number is 703-305-0179. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0389.

10U N ju

Paul Thibodeau
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Cent: 30